Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
00-411 SEP 1 2000
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

Incorporated Case 99-1463

In the

Supreme Court of the United States

Submitted March 3, 2000

October Term, 1999

In re: LARRY RITCHIE JUNIOR, Sui Juris

Petitioner,

-vs-

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA [Sued as a Person]
COUNTY OF WOOD [Sued as a Person]
CITY OF PARKERSBURG [Sued as a Person]
JOSEPH GOODWIN [Judge]
STEPTOE & JOHNSON [Sued as a Person]
W. RANDOLPH FIFE [Attorney]
PAUL A. BILLUPS [Attorney]
MUNDY & ADKINS [Sued as a Person]
BENJAMIN HUGHES [Attorney]
PULLIN, KNOPF, FOWLER & FLANAGAN [Sued as a Person]
CHARLES HADEN II [Judge]
JERRY HOGG [Magistrate]
SAMEUL KAY [Clerk]

Respondents,

Petition Extraordinary Writ of Mandamus Sup. Ct. Prac. Rule 20 and Title 28 U.S.C. § 1651

LARRY RITCHIE JUNIOR Sui Juris
c/o Route 2 Box 54
Marietta, Ohio 45750
Petitioner

56pp

Questions for Review.

1) Can a Judgment entered by DISTRICT COURT OF united STATES; SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA secured under Contract between Party of Interest under status of "Master" with Clerk of Courts' Office of DISTRICT COURT OF united STATES; SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA under status of "Servant" be reversed by a Party or trespasser outside Venue and Jurisdiction of DISTRICT COURT OF united STATES; SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA?

2) How can Officers of Court expunge or neglect entering Judgments sustained by Rule and Operation of Law without perpretating Fraud under Color of Law?

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Questions for Review	i
Table of Contents	ii
Table of Citations	iii
Appendix	iv
I. Abbreviations and Definitions	1
II. Opinions	1
III. Statement of Jurisdiction	1
IV. Statement of Case	2
V. Basis for Jurisdiction of First Instance	3
VI. Petition for Writ of Mandamus	4
VII. Reasons for granting Writ	4
VIII. Demand for Relief	7
IX. Conclusion	8
A. Facts	16

Contents

TABLE OF CITATIONS

Statutes Cited:	**Page**
Title 5 U.S.C. § 706	1, 4
Title 18 U.S.C. § 2	6
Title 18 U.S.C. § 241	6
Title 18 U.S.C. § 242	6
Title 18 U.S.C. § 872	6
Title 18 U.S.C. § 1505	6
Title 18 U.S.C. § 1621	6
Title 18 U.S.C. § 1951	6
Title 18 U.S.C. § 1952	7
Title 18 U.S.C. § 1962	7
Title 28 U.S.C. § 1331	4
Title 28 U.S.C. § 1343	4
Title 28 U.S.C. § 1605	2, 4
Title 28 U.S.C. § 1606	2, 4
Title 28 U.S.C. § 1651	2, 4
Title 42 U.S.C. § 1981	3, 19, 21
Title 42 U.S.C. § 1983	5,15,16
Title 42 U.S.C. § 1985	5,15,16
Title 42 U.S.C. § 1986	5, 16
United States Constitution Cited:	
Article III Section 1	1, 4
Amendment I	1,4,7,18
Amendment V	8, 15
Amendment VII	4
Amendment XI	1, 4
Amendment XIV	8, 15
Supreme Court Practice Rule	
Rule 21	3
Rule 44	3

APPENDIX A---- April 17, 2000; Order denying issuance of Writ of Certiorari by Supreme Court of the United State. 1 a

APPENDIX B --- Order issued by Supreme Court of United States denying issuance of Petition for Rehearing 2 a

APPENDIX C --- Decision rendered by Supreme Court of United States denying showing of Cause 3 a

APPENDIX D --- Decision rendered by Supreme Court of United States denying vacating Voiid Judgment 4 a

APPENDIX E --- Decision rendered by Supreme Court of United States denying Motion for Reconsideration 5 a

APPENDIX F --- Order issued by Court of Appeals of United States denying issuance of Writ of Certiorari 6 a

APPENDIX G --- Order issued by Court of Appeals of United States denying Rehearing and Rehearing en Banc 8 a

APPENDIX H --- Order of Judgment entered by United States District Court, Southern District of West Virginia 9 a

APPENDIX I --- Affidavit in Support 13 a

APPENDIX J --- Relevant Provisions under 1789 Constitution of United States and Statutes 19 a

I. Abbreviations and Definitions

U.S.Const.Am.	=	United States Constitution Amendment
U.S.Const.	=	United States Constitution
U.S.C. §	=	United States Code Section
Sup.Ct. Prac. R.	=	Supreme Court Practice Rule
U.C.C.	=	Uniform Commercial Code

II. Opinions

1) Opinions of United States District Court, Southern District of West Virginia and Court of Appeals of United States Fourth Circuit are unpublished.

III. Statement of Jurisdiction

2) April 17, 2000; Supreme Court of United States issued an Order denying issuance of Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Certiorari

3) Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner invokes Supreme Court of United States' Jurisdiction under 1789 U.S. Const. Am. I Right of Petitioning Government for redress of grievances; 1789 U.S. Const. Am. XI [1] and Article III Section 1 [2] for Judicial Power vested by one Supreme Court for one time Judicial Review under guidelines of Title 5 U.S.C. § 706 [3] for Scope of Review by 1789 U.S. Const. Am. V

---

[1] **U.S.Const.Am. XI** The Judicial power of the United States shall not be construed to extend to any suit in law or equity, commenced or prosecuted against one of the United States by Citizens of another States, or by Citizens or Subjects of any Foreign State.

[2] **U.S.Const. Article III Section 1** - The judicial power of the United States, shall be vested in one supreme Court, and in such inferior Courts as the Congress may from time to time ordain and establish.

[3] **Title 5 United States Code Section 706 Scope of review.**
To the extent necessary to decision and when presented, the reviewing court shall decide all relevant questions of law, interpret constitutional and statutory provisions, and determine the meaning or applicability of the terms of an agency action. The reviewing court shall -
(1) compel agency action unlawfully withheld or unreasonable delayed; and

and XIV for Due Process and Equal Protection and Benefit under Law; Sup.Ct. Prac. R. 20 and Title 28 U.S.C. § 1651 for Petition for Extraordinary Writ of Mandamus enforcing Title 28 U.S.C § 1605 "Foreign Sovereign Immunity Act" and Title 28 U.S.C. § 1606 for Loss of Immunity of Officers of Court; States and Foreign States for exhaustion of all remedies for adjudication by Court of last resort.

4) Larry Ritchie Junior Petitioner's Petition for Extraordinary Writ of Mandamus is made timely and in Good Faith with no just cause for delay.

IV. Statement of Case

5) March 3, 2000; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner petitioned Supreme Court of United States for a Writ of Certiorari to Court of Appeals of United States Fourth Circuit and United States District Court, Southern District of West Virginia for certification of record for review.

6) April 7, 2000; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner filed a Reply Brief against Respondents State of west Virginia [Sued as a Person]; County of Wood [Sued as a Person]; City of Parkersburg [Sued as a Person]; Charles Haden II [Judge]; Joseph Goodwin [Judge]; Jerry Hogg [Magistrate]; Samuel Kay [Clerk]; Mundy and Adkins [Sued as a Person]; Pullin, Knopf, Fowler and Flanagan [Sued as a Person]; Steptoe and Johnson [Sued as a Person]; Benjamin Hughes [Attorney]; Paul Billups [Attorney] and Randolph Fife [Attorney] acknowledgment of waivering rights of submitting an Answer; Pleading or Defense against Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Certiorari filed March 3, 2000.

---

(2) hold unlawful and set aside agency action, findings, and conclusions found to be -
(A) arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion, or otherwise not in accordance with law; (B) contrary to constitutional right, power, privilege, or immunity; (C) in excess of statutory jurisdiction, authority, or limitations or short of statutory right; (D) without observance of procedure required by law;

7) April 17, 2000; William Suter [Clerk] issued an Order denying Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Certiorari filed March 3, 2000.

8) May 4, 2000; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner timely filed a Petition for Rehearing of Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Certiorari filed March 3, 2000 under Sup.Ct.Prac. Rule 44.

9) June 5, 2000; William Suter [Clerk] issued an Order denying Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner's Petition for Rehearing of Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Certiorari filed March 3, 2000.

10) June 15, 2000; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner timely filed a Petition to Rehear and Show Cause with Particularity why Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Certiorari filed March 3, 2000 was denied.

11) June 16, 2000; Francis Lorson [Clerk] made a decision to return Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner's Petition to Rehear and Show Cause with Particularity filed June 15, 2000.

12) July 7, 2000; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner timely filed a Petition to Rehear and Vacate Void Judgment entered by United States District Court, Southern District of West Virginia April 29, 1999.

13) July 12, 2000; Francis Lorson [Clerk] made a decision to return Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner's Petition to Vacate Void Judgment filed July 7, 2000.

14) August 3, 2000; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner timely filed a Motion for Reconsideration under Sup.Ct.Prac. Rule 21 and Title 42 U.S.C. § 1981.

15) August 7, 2000; Francis Lorson [Clerk] made a decision to return Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner's Motion for Reconsideration filed August 3, 2000 under Sup.Ct.Prac. Rule 21 and Title 42 U.S.C. § 1981 acknowledging Court was closed.

V. Basis for Jurisdiction Within Court of First Instance

16) Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner invoked United States

District Court, Southern District of West Virginia's Original Jurisdiction under Title 28 U.S.C. § 1331 for Federal Question over Title 28 U.S.C. § 1343 for Civil rights under Fed.R.Civ.P. Rule 38(a) and 1789 U.S.Const.Am. VII Right for a Trial by Jury for enforcement of Title 28 U.S.C. § 1605 and Title 28 U.S.C. § 1606 for loss of Immunity

VI. Petition for Writ of Mandamus

17) Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner respectfully petitions Supreme Court of United States under 1789 U.S. Const. Am. I Right of Petitioning Government for redress of grievances; 1789 U.S. Const. Am. XI and Article III Section 1 for Judicial Power vested by one Supreme Court for one time Judicial Review under guidelines of Title 5 U.S.C. § 706 for Scope of Review by 1789 U.S. Const. Am. V and XIV for Due Process and Equal Protection and Benefit under Law; Sup.Ct. Prac. R. 20 and Title 28 U.S.C. § 1651 for Petition for Extraordinary Writ of Mandamus directed against Chief Justice William Rehnquist as Principal Officer over Supreme Court of United States rule upon Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner's usage of Procedure applied within Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Certiorari by exercising duty required by law under established rights preventing further injury(s) or wrong(s) of fraud perpretated by Agents William Suter [Clerk] and Francis Lorson [Clerk] contrary with Constitutional Right and Power by abuse of discretion in excess of Jurisdiction, authority short of 1789 Constitutional and Statutory Right by neglecting observance of procedure otherwise not in accordance with law upon defaulting of duties required by law under rights established.

VII. Reasons for Granting Mandamus

18) Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S. Const.Am. V and XIV; Larry Ritchie Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" under Title 42 U.S.C. § 1986 [4] by neglecting

---

[4] **"Knowledge of Law" refers with Title 42 U.S.C. § 1986;**

prevention of further injury(s) or wrong(s) by Agents William Suter [Clerk] and Francis Lorson [Clerk].

19) Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S. Const.Am. V and XIV; Larry Ritchie Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury(s) or wrong(s) under Title 42 U.S.C. § 1985 for Conspiracy by Agents William Suter [Clerk] and Francis Lorson [Clerk].

20) Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S. Const.Am. V and XIV; Larry Ritchie Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury(s) or wrong(s) under Title 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for Deprivation of Rights under Color of Law by Agents William Suter [Clerk] and Francis Lorson [Clerk].

21) Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S. Const.Am. V and XIV; Larry Ritchie Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury(s) or

---

Every person who, having knowledge that any of the wrongs conspired to be done, and mentioned in section 1985 of this Title, are about to be committed, and having power to prevent or aid in preventing the commission of the same, neglects of refuses so to do, if such wrongful act be committed, shall be liable to the party injured, or his legal representatives, for all damages caused by such wrongful act, which such person by reasonable diligence could have prevented; and such damages may be recovered in an action of the case; and any number of persons guilty of such wrongful neglect or refusal may be joined as defendants in the action; and if the death of any party be caused by any such wrongful act and neglect, the legal representatives of the deceased shall have such action therefor, and may recover not exceeding $5,000.00 damages therein, for the benefit of the widow of the deceased, if there be one, and if there be no widow, then for the benefit of the next of kin of the deceased. But no action under the provisions of this section shall be sustained which is not commenced within one year after the cause of action has accrued.

wrong(s) under Title 18 U.S.C. § 2 for Principal by Justice William Rehnquist.

22) Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S. Const.Am. V and XIV; Larry Ritchie Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury(s) or wrong(s) under Title 18 U.S.C. § 1621 for Perjury of Oath of Office by Agents William Suter [Clerk] and Francis Lorson [Clerk].

23) Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S. Const.Am. V and XIV; Larry Ritchie Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury(s) or wrong(s) under Title 18 U.S.C. § 242 for Deprivation of Rights by Agents William Suter [Clerk] and Francis Lorson [Clerk].

24) Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S. Const.Am. V and XIV; Larry Ritchie Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury(s) or wrong(s) under Title 18 U.S.C. § 241 for Conspiracy by Agents William Suter [Clerk] and Francis Lorson [Clerk].

25) Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S. Const.Am. V and XIV; Larry Ritchie Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury(s) or wrong(s) under Title 18 U.S.C. § 872 for Extortion by Agents William Suter [Clerk] and Francis Lorson [Clerk].

26) Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S. Const.Am. V and XIV; Larry Ritchie Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury(s) or wrong(s) under Title 18 U.S.C. § 1505 for Obstruction of Justice by Agents William Suter [Clerk] and Francis Lorson [Clerk].

27) Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S. Const.Am. V and XIV; Larry Ritchie Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury(s) or wrong(s) under Title 18 U.S.C. § 1951 for Interference with Flow of Commerce by Agents William Suter [Clerk] and Francis Lorson [Clerk].

28) Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S. Const.Am. V and XIV; Larry Ritchie Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury(s) or wrong(s) under Title 18 U.S.C. § 1952 for Fraud and Racketeering by Agents William Suter [Clerk] and Francis Lorson [Clerk].

29) Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S. Const.Am. V and XIV; Larry Ritchie Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury(s) or wrong(s) under Title 18 U.S.C. § 1962 for Prohibited Activities by Agents William Suter [Clerk] and Francis Lorson [Clerk].

VIII. Demand for Relief

30) Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner "Commands" Supreme Court of United States order Chief Justice William Rehnquist as "Principal" over all business transactions and all "Agents" conduct within Supreme Court of United States; examine Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Certiorari for analysis in favor of rendering a decision upon usage of procedure applied/used within Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Certiorari and set aside Order entered by Supreme Court of United States April 17, 2000 contrary with Constitutional Right and Power; an abuse of discretion; in excess of statutory Jurisdiction, authority short of 1789 Constitutional and Statutory Right by neglecting observance of procedure otherwise not in accordance with law upon defaulting of Duty by Agents William Suter [Clerk] and Francis Lorson [Clerk] for duty required by law under established rights of 1789 U.S. Const. Am. I Right of petitioning Government for redress of Grievances with granting of Court Access; 1789 U.S. Const. Am. V and XIV Right of Due Process and Equal Protection and Benefit under Law; or

b) Order Justice William Rehnquist show cause why Supreme Court of United States should not issue Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner's Petition for Extraordinary Writ of Mandamus and grant relief demanded within Paragraph Number 30 of Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner's Petition for Extraordinary Writ of Mandamus no other

Court grant relief sought for all remedies were exhausted within other Courts;

c) Further Relief Supreme Court of United States deems necessary.

IX. Conclusion

31) April 17, 2000; Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S.Const.Am.V and XIV; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury(s) or wrong(s) by Agent William Suter [Clerk] acting under Disguise of Law impaired obligation of Contract for Perjury of Oath of Office under Color of Law and Official Right by design.

32) April 17, 2000; Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S.Const.Am.V and XIV; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury(s) or wrong(s) by Agent William Suter [Clerk] acting under Disguise of Law imposed Ex Post facto Law and Bill of Attainder upon denying Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner right of petitioning government for redress of grievances under Color of Law and Official Right by design.

33) April 17, 2000; Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S.Const.Am.V and XIV; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury or wrong by William Suter [Clerk] acting under Disguise of Law engaged within an enterprise of carrying on further activities exceeding limitations of Jurisdiction by extorting and robbing Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner of Rights of petitioning government for redress of grievances secured by Contract under Seal of United States of America subjecting Larry Ritchie, Junior against contempt and impaired business reputation under Color of Law and Official Right by design.

34) April 17, 2000; Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S.Const.Am.V and XIV; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further

injury or wrong by William Suter [Clerk] acting under Disguise of Law interfering/effecting flow of commerce upon exceeding limitations of Jurisdiction by obstructing/delaying Justice denying Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner Court Access under Color of Law and Official Right by design.

35) April 17, 2000; Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S.Const.Am.V and XIV; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury or wrong by Agent William Suter [Clerk] acting under disguise of law distributing process of artifice withholding Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner right of petitioning Government for redress of grievances with Court Access within a Postal Service of United States of America for carrying on an enterprise of racketeering and Fraud under Color of Law and Official right by design.

36) April 17, 2000; Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S.Const.Am.V and XIV; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury or wrong by Agent William Suter [Clerk] acting under disguise of law engaging within an enterprise of racketeering and Fraud effecting flow of interstate commerce by exceeding limitations of Jurisdiction upon robbing and extorting Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner right of petitioning government for redress of grievances under Color of Law and Official Right Court Access by design.

37) June 5, 2000; Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S.Const.Am.V and XIV; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury or wrong by Agent William Suter [Clerk] acting under Disguise of Law impaired obligation of Contract for Perjury of Oath of Office under Color of Law and Official Right by design.

38) June 5, 2000; Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S.Const.Am.V and XIV; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury or wrong by Agent William Suter [Clerk] acting under Disguise

of Law imposed Ex Post facto Law and Bill of Attainder upon denying Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner right of petitioning government for redress of grievances under Color of Law and Official Right by design.

39) June 5, 2000; Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S.Const.Am.V and XIV; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury or wrong by Agent William Suter [Clerk] acting under Disguise of Law engaged within an enterprise of carrying on further activities exceeding limitations of Jurisdiction by extorting and robbing Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner of Rights of petitioning government for redress of grievances secured by Contract under Seal of United States of America subjecting Larry Ritchie, Junior against contempt and impaired business reputation under Color of Law and Official Right by design.

40) June 5, 2000; Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S.Const.Am.V and XIV; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury or wrong by Agent William Suter [Clerk] acting under Disguise of Law interfering/effecting flow of commerce upon exceeding limitations of Jurisdiction by obstructing/delaying Justice upon withholding Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner Court Access under Color of Law and Official Right by design.

41) June 5, 2000; Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S.Const.Am.V and XIV; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury or wrong by Agent William Suter [Clerk] acting under disguise of law distributing process of artifice withholding Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner right of petitioning Government for redress of grievances with Court Access within a Postal Service of United States of America for carrying on an enterprise of racketeering and Fraud under Color of Law and Official right by design.

42) June 5, 2000; Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S.Const.Am.V and XIV; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury or wrong by Agent William Suter [Clerk] acting under disguise of law engaging within an enterprise of racketeering and Fraud effecting flow of interstate commerce by exceeding limitations of Jurisdiction upon denying Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner right of petitioning government for redress of grievances under Color of Law and Official Right Court Access by design.

43) June 16, 2000; Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S.Const.Am.V and XIV; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury or wrong by Agent Francis Lorson [Clerk] acting under Disguise of Law impaired obligation of Contract for Perjury of Oath of Office under Color of Law and Official Right by design.

44) June 16, 2000; Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S.Const.Am.V and XIV; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury or wrong by Agent Francis Lorson [Clerk] acting under Disguise of Law imposed Ex Post facto Law and Bill of Attainder upon denying Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner's right of petitioning government for redress of grievances under Color of Law and Official Right by design.

45) June 16, 2000; Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S.Const.Am.V and XIV; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury or wrong by Agent Francis Lorson [Clerk] acting under Disguise of Law engaged within an enterprise of carrying on further activities exceeding limitations of Jurisdiction by extorting and robbing Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner's Right of petitioning government for redress of grievances secured by Contract under Seal of United States of America subjecting Larry Ritchie, Junior against

contempt and impaired business reputation under Color of Law and Official Right by design.

46) June 16, 2000; Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S.Const.Am.V and XIV; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury or wrong by Agent Francis Lorson [Clerk] acting under Disguise of Law interfering with flow of commerce upon exceeding limitations of Jurisdiction by obstructing/delaying Justice denying Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner Court Access under Color of Law and Official Right by design.

47) June 16, 2000; Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S.Const.Am.V and XIV; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury or wrong by Agent Francis Lorson [Clerk] acting under disguise of law distributing process of artifice withholding Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner right of petitioning Government for redress of grievances with Court Access within a Postal Service of United States of America for carrying on an enterprise of racketeering and Fraud under Color of Law and Official right by design.

48) June 16, 2000; Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S.Const.Am.V and XIV; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury or wrong by Agent Francis Lorson [Clerk] acting under disguise of law engaging within an enterprise of racketeering and Fraud effecting flow of interstate commerce by exceeding limitations of Jurisdiction upon robbing and extorting Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner right of petitioning government for redress of grievances with Court Access under Color of Law and Official Right by design.

49) July 12, 2000; Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S.Const.Am.V and XIV; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury or wrong by Agent Francis Lorson [Clerk] acting under

Disguise of Law impaired obligation of Contract for Perjury of Oath of Office under Color of Law and Official Right by design.

50) July 12, 2000; Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S.Const.Am.V and XIV; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury or wrong by Agent Francis Lorson [Clerk] acting under Disguise of Law imposed Ex Post facto Law and Bill of Attainder upon denying Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner right of petitioning government for redress of grievances under Color of Law and Official Right by design.

51) July 12, 2000; Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S.Const.Am.V and XIV; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury or wrong by Agent Francis Lorson [Clerk] acting under Disguise of Law engaged within an enterprise of carrying on further activities exceeding limitations of Jurisdiction by extorting and robbing Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner of Rights of petitioning government for redress of grievances secured by Contract under Seal of United States of America subjecting Larry Ritchie, Junior against contempt and impaired business reputation under Color of Law and Official Right by design.

52) July 12, 2000; Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S.Const.Am.V and XIV; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury or wrong by Agent Francis Lorson [Clerk] acting under Disguise of Law interfering/effecting flow of commerce by exceeding limitations of Jurisdiction upon obstructing/delaying Justice robbing and extorting Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner Court Access under Color of Law and Official Right by design.

53) July 12, 2000; Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S.Const.Am.V and XIV; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury or wrong by Agent Francis Lorson [Clerk] acting under disguise

of law distributing process of artifice withholding Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner's right of petitioning Government for redress of grievances with Court Access within a Postal Service of United States of America for carrying on an enterprise of racketeering and Fraud under Color of Law and Official right by design.

54) July 12, 2000; Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S.Const.Am.V and XIV; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury or wrong by Agent Francis Lorson [Clerk] acting under disguise of law engaging within an enterprise of racketeering and Fraud effecting flow of interstate commerce by exceeding limitations of Jurisdiction upon denying Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner right of petitioning government for redress of grievances with Court Access under Color of Law and Official Right by design.

55) Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S.Const.Am.V and XIV; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury(s) or wrong(s) by Agents William Suter [Clerk] and Francis Lorson [Clerk] acting in concert/harmony under disguise of law possessed power of correcting further injury(s) or wrong(s) of Fraud against Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner and neglected exercise of Power preventing further injury(s) or Wrong(s) of fraud under Color of Law and Official Right by design.

56) Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S.Const.Am.V and XIV; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury(s) or wrong(s) by Agents William Suter [Clerk] and Francis Lorson [Clerk] acting in concert under disguise of Law exceeding limitations of Jurisdiction upon surrendering 1789 Constitution of United States of America by Nonfeasance of Office under Color of Law and Official Right by design.

57) Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S.Const.Am.V and XIV; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner was

injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury(s) or wrong(s) by Agents William Suter [Clerk] and Francis Lorson [Clerk] acting in concert/harmony under disguise of law of a schedule planned scheme orchestrated under an enterprise of fraud and racketeering under Color of Law and Official right exceeding limitations of jurisdiction by withholding Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner 1789 Constitution of United States Amendment I right of petitioning government for redress of grievances with Court Access by design.

58) Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S.Const.Am.V and XIV; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury(s) or wrong(s) by Agents William Suter [Clerk] and Francis Lorson [Clerk] acting in concert under disguise of law of a scheduled planned scheme orchestrated under an enterprise of fraud and racketeering under Color of Law and Official right exceeded limitations of jurisdiction upon impairing Obligation of Contract for Perjury of Oath of Office by design.

59) Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S.Const.Am.V and XIV; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner was injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury(s) or wrong(s) by Agents William Suter [Clerk] and Francis Lorson [Clerk] acting in concert/harmony under disguise of law participating/engaged under an enterprise of Fraud and Racketeering manifesting overt acts of robbing and Extorting Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner of remedies provided by Acts of Congress under Title 42 U.S.C. § 1986 for knowledge of Law neglecting prevention of further injury(s) or wrong(s) under Title 42 U.S.C. § 1985 for Conspiracy under Title 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for deprivation of Rights under Color of Law and right of petitioning government for redress of grievances under Color of Law and Official right by design.

60) Without Due Process of Law under 1789 U.S.Const.Am.V and XIV; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner was

injured for "Knowledge of Law" by neglecting prevention of further injury(s) or wrong(s) by Agents William Suter [Clerk] and Francis Lorson [Clerk] acting in concert/harmony under disguise of law participating/engaged under an enterprise of Fraud and Racketeering manifesting overt acts of collusion defrauding Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner of remedies provided by Acts of Congress under Title 42 U.S.C. § 1986 for knowledge of Law neglecting prevention of further injury(s) or wrong(s) under Title 42 U.S.C. § 1985 for Conspiracy under Title 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for deprivation of Rights under Color of Law and right of petitioning government for redress of grievances under Color of Law and Official right by design.

A. Facts

61) December 3, 1998; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner entered into a binding Contract under Master/Servant relationship with United States District Court, Southern District of West Virginia with Specific Performance for a Trial by Jury by United States District Court, Southern District of West Virginia accepting terms of Contract and payment of filing fee in amount of $150.00 and no cents.

62) April 29, 1999; Respondent Charles Haden II [Judge] breached binding Contract between Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner and United States District Court, Southern District of West Virginia upon exceeding limitation of Jurisdiction by neglecting observance of procedure used and applied within Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner's presentment of Contract required by law.

63) April 29, 1999; Respondent Charles Haden II [Judge] further breached binding Contract between Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner and United States District Court, Southern District of West Virginia by possessing Jurisdiction over Subject Matter and over all Parties and losing all Jurisdiction by acting under Color of Law and Official Right outside scope of authority delegated by law.

64) April 29, 1999; Respondent Charles Haden II [Judge] Order issued was Coram non Judice and Order of Judgment entered was void ab initio.

65) Respondent Charles Haden II [Judge] mailed communications of containing threats with contempt of Court and granted sanctions without Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner given a Court Hearing for presentment of Evidence by design.

66) June 23, 1999; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner entered in a binding Contract with Court of Appeals of United States Fourth Circuit by way of Petition for Writ of Certiorari against United States District Court, Southern District of West Virginia for Certification of Record for review by accepting terms of Contract and payment of filing fee in amount of $100.00 and no cents.

67) October 6, 1999; Court of Appeals of United States Fourth Circuit issued an Order stating writs of Certiorari were improper remedies and Appeals were Proper remedies for challenging orders.

68) October 19, 1999; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner timely petitioned Court of Appeals of United States Fourth Circuit for rehearing challenging order entered October 6, 1999 against Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Certiorari establishing Appeals:

a) **relieves** individual(s); Officer(s) of Court(s)/Tribunal(s) against liabilities of exceeding limitations of Jurisdiction.

b) **takes away** into inquiry of Final Judgment rendered with/without Jurisdiction by no review available of record.

c) **is without authority** into inquiring rights invaded by acts of Officers/persons clothed with authority and used Authority beyond boundaries of law delegated by neglecting function of reviewing entire record.

d) **takes away** exercise Right of Petitioning government for redress of grievances by neglecting joining of new Parties.

e) **causes Larry Ritchie Junior Petitioner injuries of materiality for inadequacy and uncertainty**.

69) Writs of Certiorari:

a) **allows** inquiry into Final Judgment rendered with/without Jurisdiction entered by United States District Court, Southern District of West Virginia by review of record.

b) **lies in favor of** petitioning Government for redress of grievances of rights invaded by acts of Officers/persons clothed with authority and used Authority beyond boundaries of law delegated.

c) **permits** exercise Right of petitioning government redress of grievances under 1789 U.S. Const. Am. I by joining new Parties.

d) **allows** justice administered **with certainty and adequacy**.

70) Larry Ritchie Junior Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Certiorari filed June 22, 1999 directed to United States District Court, Southern District of West Virginia for certification of record for review **was proper** for United States District Court, Southern District of West Virginia did possess Jurisdiction over Subject Matter and over all Parties but lost Jurisdiction over Subject Matter and over all Parties by exceeding limitations of Jurisdiction/authority under Color of Law and Official Right delegated by law.

71) February 1, 2000; Court of Appeals of United States Fourth Circuit entered an Order denying rehearing of Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner's Petition for writ of Certiorari.

72) February 1, 2000; Court of Appeals of United States further injured Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner by breach of binding Contract between Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner and Court of Appeals of United States Fourth Circuit by extorting and robbing Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner rights of petitioning government for redress of grievances with Court Access and opportunity of presenting evidence.

73) February 1, 2000; Court of Appeals of United States Fourth Circuit possessed knowledge under U.C.C. 1-201(25-27) for Establishing Knowledge of Fraud sustained by Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner by Federal Actors acting under Color of Law and Official

right exceeding limitations of Jurisdiction by breaching of binding Contractual Obligations with Specific Performance.

74) Court of Appeals of United States Fourth Circuit never gave Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner a hearing in open Court for right of enforcing Obligations of Contract and presentment of evidence mandated by Title 42 U.S.C. § 1981 for Statement of Equal Rights by design.

75) March 3, 2000; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner timely petitioned Supreme Court of United States for a Writ of Certiorari against Court of Appeals of United States Fourth Circuit and United States District Court, Southern District of West Virginia for certification of record for review.

76) April 17, 2000; William Suter [Clerk] issued an Order denying Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Certiorari.

77) April 17, 2000; Agent William Suter [Clerk] acting in concert/harmony with Court of Appeals of United States Fourth Circuit and United States District Court, Southern District of West Virginia of further withheld Court Access permitting Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner exercise Rights of procedural due process and substantive due Process of law under Color of Law and Official Right by design.

78) May 4, 2000; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner timely petitioned Supreme Court of United States for rehearing of Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Certiorari.

79) June 5, 2000; Agent William Suter [Clerk] issued an Order denying Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner's Petition for Hearing.

80) June 15, 2000; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner petitioned Supreme Court of United States to rehear and show cause with Particularity why Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Certiorari was denied.

81) June 16, 2000; Agent Francis Lorson [Clerk] made a decision for returning Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner's Petition to Rehear and Show Cause with Particularity.

82) July 17, 2000; Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner timely petitioned Supreme Court of United States for rehearing and vacate void judgment entered by United States District Court, Southern District of West Virginia April 29, 2000.

83) July 12, 2000; Agent Francis Lorson [Clerk] again made a decision for returning Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner's Petition to Rehear and vacate void Judgment.

84) Supreme Court of United States never gave Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner a day in open Court or investigated Fraud perpretated under Color of Law and Official Right by federal actors using authority clothed by United States under disguise of law exceeding limitations of Jurisdiction by design.

85) No Justices of Supreme Court of United States participated in issuing Orders/decisions/Judgment against Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner or upon behalf of Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner.

86) Orders/Decisions issued by William Suter [Clerk] and Francis Lorson [Clerk] are ipso facto void by neglecting observance of procedure otherwise not in accordance with law contrary with 1789 Constitutional Right of Due Process and equal Protection and benefit under Law upon exceeded limitations of Jurisdiction under Color of Law and Official Right by design.

87) Orders/Decisions issued by Agents William Suter [Clerk] and Francis Lorson [Clerk] exceeded limitations of Jurisdiction by possessing knowledge under U.C.C. 1-201 (25-27) for Establishing of knowledge of fraud sustained against Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner of Rights under 1789 Constitution of United States of America deprived by federal actors acting under Color of Law and Official Right exceeding limitations of Jurisdiction by design.

88) Agents William Suter [Clerk] and Francis Lorson [Clerk] exceeded limitations of Jurisdiction by possessing knowledge under U.C.C. 1-201 (25-27) for Establishing of knowledge of fraud sustained against Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner of Rights under Title 42 U.S.C. § 1981 for Statement of Equal Rights and 1789 Constitution of United States of America Amendment I right of Petitioning Government for redress of grievances were extorted and robbed upon denial of Court Access by Federal Actors under Color of Law and Official Right by design.

89) Agents William Suter [Clerk] and Francis Lorson [Clerk] possessed power of correcting further injury(s) or wrong(s) of Fraud sustained against Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner at all times and neglected usage of power of correcting/preventing further injury(s) or wrong(s) by design.

90) Agents William Suter [Clerk] and Francis Lorson [Clerk] joined a chained conspiracy against Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner exercising right of Petitioning Government for redress of grievances with Court Access under an enterprise of fraud and racketeering by federal actors acting under disguise of law exceeding limitations of jurisdiction under Color of Law and Official Right by design.

91) Agents William Suter [Clerk] and Francis Lorson [Clerk] exceeded Limitations of Jurisdiction by further depriving Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner of Rights by Title 42 U.S.C. § 1981 for Statement of Equal Rights and 1789 Constitution of United States of America Amendment V and XIV for Due Process and Equal Protection under Color of Law and Official right by design.

Respectfully Submitted,

Larry Ritchie, Junior

Larry Ritchie, Junior Petitioner
c/o Route 2 Box 54
Marietta, Ohio 45750